



# Audit of Petroleum Interests of Tullow Oil

*At 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020*

*Tullow Oil plc*

Registered office:  
TRACS International Limited  
East Wing First Floor, Admiral Court,  
Poynernook Road, Aberdeen AB11 5QX  
+44 1224 629000  
[reservoir@tracs.com](mailto:reservoir@tracs.com)



This report was prepared in accordance with standard geological and engineering methods generally accepted by the oil and gas industry, in particular the 2018 SPE PRMS. Estimates of hydrocarbon reserves and resources should be regarded only as estimates that may change as further production history and additional information become available. Not only are reserves and resource estimates based on the information currently available, these are also subject to uncertainties inherent in the application of judgemental factors in interpreting such information. TRACS International Limited shall have no liability arising out of or related to the use of the report.

**Status:** Final

**Date:** April 2021

**Revision:** 00

**Approved by:**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. Wynne".

---

Mike Wynne

## Qualification

TRACS International Limited ("TRACS") was founded in 1992, and currently has over 50 petroleum engineers, geoscientists and petroleum economists working from two office locations. TRACS has extensive Reserves and asset valuation experience and are recognised as industry reserve, risk and valuation experts. Note that in 2008, TRACS was bought by AGR and became AGR TRACS International Ltd. ("AGR TRACS" a wholly owned subsidiary of AGR). In April 2019, AGR TRACS was sold and became TRACS International Ltd. (an independent company). All contracts and ownership rights to prior work performed by AGR TRACS were retained by TRACS during that transaction.

The Tullow asset review and evaluation was performed by senior TRACS staff with an average 30+ years in the oil and gas industry. The team members all hold at least a bachelor's degree in geoscience, petroleum engineering or related discipline. The preparation of this report has been supervised by Dr. Mike Wynne. Dr. Wynne has over 25 years of experience in the evaluation of oil and gas fields, preparation of development plans and assessment of Reserves and Resources.

This assessment has been conducted within the context of the TRACS understanding of the effects of petroleum legislation, taxation, and other regulations that currently apply. However, TRACS is not in a position to attest to property title, financial interest relationships or encumbrances thereon for any part of the appraised properties.

It should be understood that any determination of resource volumes, particularly involving petroleum developments, may be subject to significant variations over short periods of time as new information becomes available and perceptions change. This is particularly relevant to exploration activities which by their nature involve a high degree of uncertainty.

All volumetric calculations are based on independent mapping undertaken by TRACS using data provided to TRACS. The reservoir properties input to the volumetric calculations and the associated volume uncertainty ranges are based on TRACS experience over more than 20 years of performing evaluations, and the statement on risking in this report represents the independent view of TRACS. The risking of contingent and prospective Resources has been done in accordance with the LSE/AIM Guidance note for Mining, Oil and Gas Companies - June 2009 ("LSE/AIM Guidelines").

TRACS has carried out this work using the June 2018 SPE/WPC/AAPG/SPEE Petroleum Resources Management System (PRMS) as the standard for classification and reporting. A summary of the PRMS is found in Appendix A.

TRACS will receive a fee for the preparation of this report in accordance with normal professional consulting practices. This fee is not dependent on the findings of this report and TRACS will receive no other benefit for the preparation of this report.

Neither TRACS nor the individuals who are responsible for authoring this report, nor any directors of TRACS, have at the date of this report, nor have had within the previous two years, any economic or beneficial interest (present or contingent) in Tullow. TRACS, the individuals responsible for authoring this report and the directors of TRACS consider themselves to be independent of Tullow Oil, its directors, senior management and its other advisers.

This report is for the use of Tullow and its bankers and financial advisors. TRACS hereby consents to using the report or references to the report in any applicable disclosure document, provided that no portion be used out of context or in such a manner as to convey a meaning which differs from that set out in the whole. The report may not be used for any other purpose without TRACS' prior written approval.

## Contents

Qualification .....	1
1 Portfolio Overview .....	4
1.1 Introduction .....	4
1.1.1 Ghana assets .....	4
1.1.2 Gabon Assets .....	5
1.1.3 Côte d'Ivoire Assets .....	8
1.1.4 Kenya Assets.....	8
1.1.5 Guyana Assets.....	10
2 Overview of audits .....	11
3 Reserves .....	12
3.1 Jubilee Field .....	12
3.2 TEN Fields.....	13
3.3 Gabon Fields .....	14
3.4 Côte d'Ivoire Fields .....	14
4 General Methodology and Assumptions for Economics.....	15
4.1.1 Technical assessment.....	15
4.1.2 Product price deck .....	15
4.1.3 Economic models .....	16
4.1.4 Base Case.....	16
4.1.5 Sensitivity Case .....	16
5 Economic Results .....	17
5.1.1 Base Case.....	17
5.1.2 Impact of hedging on NPV 10 .....	17
6 Contingent Resources .....	18
6.1 Jubilee Field .....	18
6.2 TEN Fields.....	19
6.3 Gabon fields.....	19
6.4 Côte d'Ivoire Fields .....	20
6.5 Kenya fields .....	21
6.6 Guyana discoveries .....	21
7 Glossary of Terms .....	22
Appendix A Summary of 2018 SPE Petroleum Resources Classification .....	23

## Tables

Table 1-1 Summary of Gabon assets .....	5
Table 1-2 Ezanga Complex fields.....	6
Table 1-3 Summary of Kenya assets.....	9
Table 2-1 List of long form reports .....	11
Table 3-1 Oil and gas Reserves for all assets attributable to Tullow .....	12
Table 3-2 Oil and gas Reserves for Jubilee field attributable to Tullow .....	13
Table 3-3 Oil and gas Reserves for the TEN fields attributable to Tullow .....	13
Table 3-4 Oil Reserves for the Gabon assets attributable to Tullow .....	14
Table 3-5 Oil and gas Reserves for the Côte d’Ivoire fields attributable to Tullow.....	14
Table 5-1 NPV10 Results for 2P Reserves .....	17
Table 6-1 Oil and gas CR for all assets attributable to Tullow .....	18
Table 6-2 Oil and gas CR for Jubilee field attributable to Tullow.....	19
Table 6-3 Oil and gas CR for TEN fields attributable to Tullow .....	19
Table 6-4 Oil CR for Gabon fields attributable to Tullow.....	20
Table 6-5 Oil and gas CR for Côte d’Ivoire fields attributable to Tullow .....	20
Table 6-6 Oil CR for Kenya fields attributable to Tullow .....	21
Table 6-7 Oil and gas CR for all Guyana discoveries attributable to Tullow.....	21
Table A-1 Summary of 2018 SPE Petroleum Resources Classification .....	23
Table A-2 SPE PRMS Petroleum Resources Classification Framework.....	24

# 1 Portfolio Overview

## 1.1 Introduction

The Tullow oil and gas assets comprise of a large and diverse portfolio of producing fields, development opportunities and exploration prospects. All of the producing fields are located in West Africa, with key assets in Ghana, Gabon and Côte d'Ivoire. They include a mix of oil and gas assets and fields newly onstream as well as those with long established production. Development opportunities have been identified for many of the assets that are either under appraisal or where development plans are established but are yet to be executed. All Tullow Reserves are associated with the West African assets which also contain a significant part of their Contingent Resource (CR) base.

Tullow also have a significant presence in East Africa, where hub development plans have been established for a portfolio of fields in Kenya. Technical development plans are advanced for these assets but there are some commercial and technical hurdles that need to progress before a final investment decision can be taken on these significant developments. The recoverable volumes associated with the Kenya assets are classified as Contingent Resources.

Tullow also have three recent oil discoveries in offshore Guyana. However, there are no commercial plans for development at this time.

In arriving at the economic valuation in this report TRACS have assessed more than 90% of the Tullow Reserves and Resource estimates.

A more detailed description of the Tullow portfolio by geographical area is presented in the following sections.

### 1.1.1 Ghana assets

The Ghana fields and discoveries in the Tullow portfolio are located offshore Ghana. The key fields addressed in this report are Jubilee, Tweneboa, Enyenra and Ntomme. The last three fields are generally referred to as the TEN fields.

Tullow is the operator of the Jubilee and TEN fields with working interests of 35.48% and 47.18%, respectively. A unitisation agreement covering the Jubilee field is also in place. This was agreed by the partners of the West Cape Three Points and Deep Water Tano licenses. The TEN fields lie entirely within the Deep Water Tano Ten license.

All fields are made up of late Cretaceous Turonian reservoirs of good to varying quality. There is good quality oil in the Jubilee, Enyenra and Ntomme reservoir units, varying from 34 to 38 degrees API. Tweneboa field primarily contains retrograde gas condensate.

The Jubilee field was discovered in 2007 by Mahogany-1 and appraised by a further 3 wells prior to field development. The field is subdivided into the main (MH1, MH4 and MH5) and secondary (MH3, MH2 and LM3) reservoirs units.

The Jubilee field commenced production in November 2010. There are currently 31 development wells in the field, 17 producers, 11 water injectors and 3 gas injectors. The wells are tied back to a floating production storage and offloading facility (FPSO) utilising subsea infrastructure consisting of 10 subsea manifolds and associated flowlines. A gas pipeline is also installed and utilised for wet gas export to the Ghana National Gas Company (GNGC). This commenced in November 2014.

The TEN fields were discovered by the Tweneboa-1 well in March 2009 with subsequent discoveries of the Enyenra and Ntomme oil pools in September 2010 and March 2012. To date only the Enyenra and Ntomme fields have been developed and are being produced through a combination of water injection and gas injection (Ntomme only) recovery mechanisms.

The fields commenced production in August 2016. There are currently 8 wells in Enyenra (4 producers, 4 injectors) and 7 wells in Ntomme (4 producers, 2 water injectors and 1 gas injector). The development of TEN utilises a FPSO with production and injection wells connected via a subsea network of manifolds and flowlines tied back to the FPSO.

In Ghana both the West Cape Three Points and the Deep Water Tano blocks are governed by Petroleum Agreements with fiscal terms based on a royalty and tax system. The royalty rate that applies to the gross

production is delivered in kind. Income tax is charged after allowing for royalty payments, operating costs and the depreciation of capex.

The Government of Ghana, through its national oil company GNPC, has a 10% initial interest in both Agreements which costs are “carried” during the exploration and development phases. GNPC also has an additional interest (5% in the TEN Field and 3.64% in the Jubilee Field) where it is responsible for development and production costs.

In addition, the Ghanaian Government is entitled to additional oil entitlements from the Contractor’s share of crude oil on the basis of the after royalty, after tax, inflation adjusted rate of return achieved by the Contractor from a development and production area. The rate of return is calculated based on an agreed formula.

### 1.1.2 Gabon Assets

The Gabon fields and assets are mainly located offshore Gabon, with the exception of the Ezanga, Echira, Niungo and Oba assets which are located onshore. All assets are non-operated. The fields reviewed as part of the audit are listed below together with the relevant operator of the asset and Tullow’s working interest.

<b>Asset</b>	<b>Operator</b>	<b>Tullow WI</b>
<b>Echira</b>	Perenco	40%
<b>Limande</b>	Perenco	40%
<b>Oba</b>	Perenco	10%
<b>Turnix</b>	Perenco	27.5%
<b>Ezanga</b>	Maurel & Prom (M&P)	7.5%
<b>Niungo</b>	Perenco	40%
<b>Tchatamba Complex</b>	Perenco	25%
<b>Ruche EEA</b>	BW Energy	10%
<b>Simba</b>	Perenco	57.5%

**Table 1-1 Summary of Gabon assets**

The Ezanga Complex consists of 8 fields as presented in Table 1-2.

License	Field
<b>Ezanga Complex</b>	Onal
	Maroc North
	Maroc
	Gwedidi
	Omko
	M'bigou
	Ezmab
	Ezni

**Table 1-2 Ezanga Complex fields**

The Ezanga Complex commenced oil production in 2009. There are currently a total of 143 active wells in the fields: 100 producers and 43 water injectors. The Ezanga fields are tied back to a central processing facility at Onal. The oil is exported via 2 routes. Heating of export oil is required to manage a high wax appearance temperature.

The two main formations for the Ezanga fields are the Grès de Base and Kissenda formations. The Grès de Base represents the main reservoir rock of the western to north-western pools (Onal, M'bigou, Gwedidi and Ezmab), while the Kissenda Formation provides the main reservoir for the eastern and south-eastern fields (Maroc N, Maroc, Omko and Ezni). There is reasonable quality oil in all fields, varying from 32 to 37 degrees API. However, the crude is waxy with viscosities between 1.8 and 6 cP. The main drive mechanisms is through water injection assisted by artificial lift.

The Tchatamba complex consists of three fields: Tchatamba Marin, Tchatamba South and Tchatamba West. The fields are located approximately 30 km offshore Gabon within water depths of around 50 m and are fully contained within the Kowe license.

The fields are subdivided into four main producing reservoirs: Anguille, Azile, Cap Lopez and Madiela. Madiela is the primary reservoir with the largest recovery to date. There is good quality oil in all reservoirs, varying from 35 to 44 degrees API. The primary drive mechanism is strong aquifer support.

The Tchatamba Complex commenced production from Tchatamba Marin in 1998, followed by South in 1999 and West in 2000. There are currently a total of 19 development wells in the fields. The development of the fields consists of two mobile offshore production units (MOPU) at Marin, a processing platform at South, which is tied into Marin and a wellhead platform at West tied back to Marin. The oil is exported via a pipeline to shore and on to the Fernan Vaz floating storage and offloading facility (FSO).

The Simba field is located offshore Gabon, approximately 25 km west of the Tchatamba fields. The field was discovered in 2003 by Simba-1. Simba is produced utilising Simba-2, a single crestal oil producer, which was drilled and completed in December 2018 and came online in January 2019.

The well produces to a wellhead platform (WHP) in 80m water depth. The WHP is tied back to the Tchatamba MOPU-B platform via a multiphase pipeline.

The Simba field currently produces from the Madiela A Upper reservoir. The reservoir contains an undersaturated light oil with an API of ~ 45 API. The reservoir is good quality Cretaceous shoreface sandstone with permeabilities ranging from 50 to 1400 mD. The recovery mechanism is predominately through strong aquifer drive.

The Echira field is located onshore Gabon approximately 15 km southwest of the Rabi field. The reservoir intervals are in the Lower Cretaceous lacustrine/fluviatile Dentale and the coastal marine Gamba sands or Vembo shales. The field came onstream in 1992. There are currently 14 active wells in Echira; 7

producers and 7 water injectors. Production is supported by a combination of aquifer drive, water injection with electric submersible pumps (ESP) lifting.

The Echira field is produced through the Echira facilities, which are an important hub for other Perenco operated fields. Investment is ongoing to ensure the continued operation of the facilities.

The Niungo field is located onshore Gabon approximately, 20 km east of the Echira field. The reservoir intervals are within the pre-salt Lower Cretaceous reservoirs, mixed fluvial and coastal marine sandstones of the Gamba Fm.

The field came onstream in 2002. There are currently 31 active wells: 27 producers and 4 water injectors. Production is supported by water injection with ESP and Progressive Cavity Pump (PCP) lifting. The field is currently produced through the Echira hub facilities.

The Turnix field is located offshore Gabon in a water depth of around 25m. The main reservoir interval in the Turnix field is the N'TchenqueOcean (Anquille equivalent). The Pointe Clairette formation is the secondary reservoir in the field. Both reservoirs are turbidite reservoirs.

The field came onstream in 1998. There are currently 6 producing wells. Production is supported by a combination of natural aquifer drive and ESP/gas lift. There are no injection wells. The Turnix field produces to the Intrepid jack-up facility. Fluids are separated on board the Intrepid, water is disposed of overboard, and crude oil is exported to the Bon Bateau floating storage unit (FSU).

The Limande field is located 40km south of Port Gentil 12km west of the Ogooué estuary in 50-100m water depth. The field was discovered in 1991 and came on stream in 1998. The field has 8 oil producers and production is through depletion drive assisted by a secondary gas cap and ESP lift. The field is a 3-way dip closure with the main aquifer being the N'Tchengue Ocean.

Facilities are installed on a fixed jacket platform. Crude is exported via a new pipeline to Turnix and exported with the Turnix oil to the Bon Bateau FSU.

The Oba field is located onshore Gabon approximately 135 km south east of the city of Port Gentil. The reservoir intervals are located in the Ceno-Turonian and Lower Senonian (Upper Cretaceous) stacked shoreface and carbonate shoal reservoirs of the Cap Lopez, Azile and Milango formations.

The Oba field came onstream in 2006. There are currently 36 active wells in Oba: 23 producers and 13 water injectors. Production is supported by natural aquifer drive, water injection and ESP/PCP lifting. Oba produces via an 8-inch pipeline to the Batanga field where the oil is processed.

The Ruche Exclusive Exploitation Authorisation (EEA) includes the Tortue, Ruche, Ruche NE, Hibiscus, Moubenga and Walt Whitman fields. The Ruche EEA fields are located approximately 30km offshore Gabon in water depths just over 100m. The Ruche Fields are under development, with Phase 1 development of Tortue Field on production since September 2018. The recovery mechanism utilises natural aquifer drive with gas lift.

The reservoirs of the Ruche EEA are Lower Cretaceous stacked lacustrine, fluvial-deltaic sandstones of the Dentale formation and mixed fluvial and coastal marine sandstones of the Gamba formation.

The existing development comprises 5 subsea Tortue wells tied back to the Adolo FPSO. There are four oil wells producing from Gamba (3 wells) and Dentale (1 well) reservoirs in the Tortue field with a second Dentale well completed but still to come onstream. An additional (4<sup>th</sup>) Gamba well is planned but has been deferred due to Covid-19.

Two further phases of development are planned for the Ruche EEA: Ruche Phase 1 and Ruche Phase 2. Ruche Phase 1 consists of a new 12-slot normally unmanned well head platform (WHP) from which the wells for Ruche Phases 1 and 2 will be drilled using a jack-up rig positioned over the Ruche platform. Dry trees will be installed, and the platform wells, which will have downhole ESPs, will be produced back to the Adolo FPSO via a 20 km subsea pipeline. Ruche Phase 1 targets the development of the Gamba reservoir in the Ruche field and the newly discovered Hibiscus field. Ruche Phase 2 is planned to further develop the Ruche, Hibiscus and Ruche NE fields.

The majority of Tullow's assets in Gabon are covered by a Protocol with the Government of Gabon that means they are ring fenced and treated as one entity for tax purposes. The Protocol fields pay a cash royalty of 12% on any oil and gas revenues. In addition, they pay income tax at a rate of 50% on the combined fields' revenues after allowing for royalty, operating costs and capital depreciation. Capital is depreciated over 3 years.

The remaining fields are governed by Production Sharing Contracts. Royalty applies to these fields. Between 70 and 75% of operating and capital costs can be cost recovered. Profit oil is then shared with the Government with the contractor at a rate dependent on the level of production.

### 1.1.3 Côte d'Ivoire Assets

Espoir is located offshore Côte d'Ivoire in 400 to 600m of water. CNR operate the license. The Espoir asset consists of two oil fields – East Espoir and West Espoir. First oil produced was from East Espoir in 1982. CNR operate the license and Tullow hold a 21.33% revenue working interest.

Espoir reservoir units are of Late Albian reservoir age with a series of stacked immature sandstones deposited by deep marine gravity flows and turbidity currents within a restricted basin. There are two main reservoir units under development: URU and LRU.

The Espoir development consists of 2 wellhead towers tied back to the Espoir FPSO. Each wellhead tower (WHT) has 12 well slots, currently all in use with the West WHT located 5.5km from the FPSO. The FPSO, which is leased, is turret moored in ~120m water depth, 19km from shore. The FPSO provides water injection and gas lift to each WHT.

Oil is exported via tandem oil offloading and gas via a 10" pipeline to the Adjue Onshore Terminal. From there gas is exported to two onshore gas pipelines shared with the Lion & Panther (CI-11) and Foxtrot (CI-26) developments.

The existing development comprises 19 active wells over the whole of Espoir. There are 8 producers and 2 water injectors in West Espoir and a further 6 producers and 3 water injectors in East Espoir. Production was initially under depletion and is now supported by water injection.

The next planned development is the Phase IV drilling campaign in 2022 which includes a further 6 infill wells, 2 producers in West Espoir and 1 producer and 3 injectors in East Espoir.

The Espoir field in Côte d'Ivoire is governed by a Production Sharing Contract (PSC). The Espoir PSC is divided into Special Zone "E" and the area Outside of Special Zone "E." Special Zone "E" was designated as such because it contains the Espoir Field. The National Oil Company, Petroci has a 20% participating interest under the Espoir PSC in Special Zone "E" and pays no petroleum costs with respect to half of such interest.

Under the Espoir PSC, the contractor is entitled to recover annually costs incurred in petroleum operations (which includes exploration, appraisal, development and exploitation costs). After the deduction of petroleum costs, the remaining crude oil is profit oil and is distributed between the Government of Côte d'Ivoire and the contractor.

### 1.1.4 Kenya Assets

The Kenya fields in the Tullow portfolio are located onshore Kenya. The fields audited are listed in the table below.

	Field	License
<b>Phase 1 (Foundation Project)</b>	Ngamia Auwerwer	10BB
	Amosing	10BB
	Twiga	13T
<b>Remaining Fields</b>	Etom Lokone	13T
	Agete	13T
	Etom Auwerwer	13T
	Erut	13T
	Ngamia Lokone	10BB
	Ekales	13T
	Etuko	10BB
	Ewoi	10BB

**Table 1-3 Summary of Kenya assets**

The Kenya assets were last audited in September of 2019 which forms the basis for the descriptions included in this report. However, we have been advised by Tullow that a joint decision with its JV partners was reached to re-assess the development plan and design a project that is targeted to be commercial at low oil prices whilst preserving the phased development concept. Such assessment will take into consideration the reservoir and production data gathered during EOPS (Early Oil Pilot scheme) which was reported by the company to have produced more than 350,000 barrels of oil from the Ngamia and Amosing fields provided six months' sustained rate and pressure data during the course of 2020.

Based on our audit assessment in 2019, the Kenya assets can be divided into two categories: the assets that form the basis of a Phase 1 project (Foundation project) that would initiate development in this area and the remaining assets that would be tied into this infrastructure in subsequent phases of development.

Tullow is Operator of the fields with a 50% working interest. The assets are within two separate contract areas, 10BB and 13T. The Government of Kenya has options to back into the 10BB license up to a 20.00% interest and into the 13T license up to a 22.50% interest if a commercial discovery is made. Tullow's interest in the Production Sharing Contracts (PSCs) would then reduce to 40.00% and 38.75 %, respectively.

The Kenya assets are in the South Lokichar Basin which was rapidly subsiding in the Miocene and infilled by lacustrine, alluvial fan and fluvial depositional systems. There are two main reservoirs within the sequence, the Auwerwer Sandstone and Lokone Sandstone. Both reservoirs are intervals of interbedded sands and shales and are generally subdivided into multiple zones with multiple contacts across the fields. The fields are generally faulted and are also divided into panels with varying contacts. The reservoir sands are reasonable quality of close to 20% porosity in most sands with permeability in the range of 10s to 100s of mD.

At the time of the 2019 audit there was no production from the South Lokichar Basin (with the exception of production from extended well tests). However, as noted above there has been production from the Ngamia and Amosing fields in 2020. There has been extensive exploration and appraisal across the basin with the majority of the appraisal wells being drilled in the Ngamia and Amosing fields. There have been numerous well tests carried out across the fields including extended well tests on Ngamia and Amosing. The fluids are part of a low energy system with viscosities throughout the fields ranging from 1 to 35 centipoise.

At the time of the 2019 audit, Tullow presented a development plan where the discovered fields in the basin were planned to be carried out in a phased manner. The first phase (Phase 1 or Foundation phase) of the project was to focus on the development of Ngamia Auwerwer, Amosing and Twiga (referred to as the TAN fields). Subsequent phases were assumed to develop the additional discovered fields in the basin. The foundation project was well advanced from a technical point of view but there were still some key commercial challenges that needed to be landed to move the project forward.

The TAN fields were planned to be developed utilising pattern waterfloods. Oil producers and water injectors were to be drilled from well pads placed throughout the fields and completed across multiple and or single zones. Artificial lift was planned to be installed in the oil producers. The fields were to be produced from the well pads via infield multi-phase flowlines to a Central Processing Facility (CPF) located in the Ngamia area. The processed oil was then planned to be transported through an 820 km heated and insulated pipeline to Lamu port for onward transport to international markets.

The Foundation phase development CPF was planned to be designed to handle 80 Mbopd and over 300 Mbwpd. There were 321 planned development wells in the TAN fields of which over half of these will be in the Ngamia field. The remaining fields (Phase 2) caters for an additional 385 wells with small increases in oil and liquid capacity to accommodate the additional field developments.

Due to the uncertainty in the outcome of the commercial challenges in Kenya no Reserves have been identified for the Kenya fields. All resources carried are classified as Contingent Resources.

### 1.1.5 Guyana Assets

Tullow completed a three-well exploration campaign in Guyana in 2019, drilling the Jethro-1 and Joe-1 wells in the Tullow-operated Orinduik license and the Carapa-1 well in the non-operated Kanuku license.

All discoveries are located offshore Guyana. Tullow is Operator of the Joe and Jethro discoveries and Repsol is Operator of the Carapa discovery. Tullow has a working interest of 60% in Joe and Jethro and a working interest of 37.5% in Carapa.

The Joe and Jethro assets are located in the Orinduik Block with a small proportion of Jethro also located in the Stabroek license block. Both Jethro and Joe discoveries are part of a Tertiary canyon play. The Jethro-1 and Joe-1 wells discovered 55 metres and 14 metres of net oil pay, respectively in Tertiary-age reservoirs. Full analysis of the oil found indicated both deepwater discoveries contained heavy oil with high sulphur content.

Jethro is the lobe element of a slope channel turbidite and is stratigraphically trapped with both lateral and updip pinchout. Jethro-1 encountered a high net-to-gross package interpreted as an amalgamated lobe. Joe-1 encountered a moderately clean but relatively thin oil sand below a poorer quality water-bearing sand.

In the Kanuku block, operated by Repsol, the Carapa-1 well drilled in a water depth of 80 metres discovered four metres of net oil pay containing good quality low sulphur oil, but in poorly developed reservoirs of Cretaceous age. Carapa-1 penetrated the up-dip part of a geologically complex Upper Cretaceous slope channel complex play. The well encountered five separate and thin, oil-bearing intervals in a low net-to-gross package approximately 450m thick.

All the Guyana discoveries are in the early stages of feasibility. There are no firm development plans for the discoveries at this time.

## 2 Overview of audits

TRACS performed a comprehensive audit of the assets presented in this document between March 2019 and December 2020 through a mixture of verifying Tullow assumptions and forecasts, adapting assumptions where felt necessary, and performing original technical and commercial analysis where felt justified.

Table 2-1 lists the TRACS long form reports that document in more technical and commercial detail the analysis performed on the respective assets to generate the Reserves and Resources.

<b>Report</b>	<b>Date</b>
<b>Jubilee Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	July 2019
<b>Ezanga Fields, Gabon Resource Audit</b>	July 2019
<b>Tchatamba Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	July 2019
<b>Kenya Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	September 2019
<b>Echira Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	September 2019
<b>Turnix Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	September 2019
<b>Niungo Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	September 2019
<b>Oba Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	September 2019
<b>Limande Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	December 2019
<b>TEN Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	December 2019
<b>Guyana Resource Audit</b>	December 2019
<b>Jubilee South East Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	May 2020
<b>Carapa Resource Audit</b>	May 2020
<b>Simba Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	June 2020
<b>Ruche Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	June 2020
<b>Espoir Reserves and Resource Audit</b>	December 2020
<b>TEN Reserves Audit –Update</b>	December 2020
<b>Jubilee Reserves Audit –Update</b>	December 2020

**Table 2-1 List of long form reports**

### 3 Reserves

This section presents the TRACS estimates for developed and undeveloped Reserves associated with the Tullow assets. The reference date for the Reserves is 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020. The total oil and gas Reserves (split by category) associated with the respective assets as attributable to Tullow on 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020 are presented in Table 3-1.

Reserves Category	Oil (MMstb)	Gas (Bscf)	Total Oil Equivalent (MMboe)
	2P	2P	2P
<b>Developed Producing (DP)</b>	122.3	89.9	137.3
<b>Approved for Development (AD)</b>	64.0	68.1	75.3
<b>Justified for Development (JD)</b>	31.5	32.2	36.8
<b>Total Reserves</b>	<b>217.8</b>	<b>190.2</b>	<b>249.5</b>

**Table 3-1 Oil and gas Reserves for all assets attributable to Tullow**

The Reserves shown in Table 3-1 present the volumes in the Jubilee field, TEN fields, Tchatamba Complex, Ezanga Complex, Ruche field, Oba field, Turnix field, Echira field, Limande field, Niungo field, Simba field and Espoir fields.

Note that this table excludes the Reserves from Equatorial Guinea (EG) assets as of December 31<sup>st</sup> 2020, which was 10.4 MMboe attributable to Tullow, due to the sale of the EG assets which was completed on March 31<sup>st</sup> 2021.

The Reserves associated with the respective assets audited by TRACS are presented in the following sections.

#### 3.1 Jubilee Field

The Tullow Reserves for the Jubilee field are based on three main components:

- Developed (on production) Reserves (DP) utilising the current wells
- Approved for Development Reserves (AD) associated with the Jubilee Base Development project which targets further development in MH4/3 NE area as well as infill in the MH5 and MH1 reservoirs.
- Justified for Development Reserves (JD) associated with the Jubilee South East Phase 1&2 projects, Partial Expansion project, and further infill wells targeting the MH1 and MH4 reservoirs in Jubilee Main

The Tullow working interest in the Jubilee field is 35.48% and the net Reserves attributed to Tullow are based on this working interest. The Jubilee Reserves at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020 attributable to Tullow are presented in Table 3-2.

Reserves Category	Oil (MMstb)	Gas (Bscf)	Total Oil Equivalent (MMboe)
	2P	2P	2P
Developed Producing (DP)	67.3	66.8	78.4
Approved for Development (AD)	24.6	52.5	33.3
Justified for Development (JD)	24.6	29.5	29.5
<b>Total Reserves</b>	<b>116.5</b>	<b>148.8</b>	<b>141.3</b>

**Table 3-2 Oil and gas Reserves for Jubilee field attributable to Tullow**

### 3.2 TEN Fields

The TEN fields evaluated by TRACS consist of the Tweneboa, Enyenra and Ntomme fields.

The Tullow Reserves for the TEN fields are based on the following main components:

- Developed (on production) Reserves (DP) utilising the current wells for Enyenra and Ntomme. This includes associated gas (AG) export to market
- Approved for Development Reserves (AD) associated with the NtB-GI gas injection well due on stream in December 2021
- Approved for Development Reserves (AD) associated with the further Axis development of Enyenra, activities scheduled between December 2022 and August 2024.

The Tullow working interest in the TEN fields is 47.175% and the net Reserves attributed to Tullow are based on this working interest. The TEN Reserves at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020 attributable to Tullow are presented in Table 3-3.

Reserves Category	Oil (MMstb)	Gas (Bscf)	Total Oil Equivalent (MMboe)
	2P	2P	2P
<b>Developed Producing (DP)</b>	31.7	14.7	34.2
<b>Approved for Development (AD)</b>	31.9	15.6	34.5
<b>Justified for Development (JD)</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total Reserves</b>	<b>63.6</b>	<b>30.4</b>	<b>68.7</b>

**Table 3-3 Oil and gas Reserves for the TEN fields attributable to Tullow**

### 3.3 Gabon Fields

The Gabon fields evaluated by TRACS consist of Tchatamba Complex, Ezanga Complex, Ruche field, Oba field, Turnix field, Echira field, Limande field, Niungo field and Simba field.

The Tullow Reserves for the Gabon assets are based on three main components:

- Developed (on production) Reserves utilising the current wells
- Approved for Development Reserves (AD) associated with identified workovers and infill wells that have been approved
- Justified for Development Reserves (JD) associated with identified workovers and infill wells

The Tullow working interest varies across the fields from 7.5% to 57.5% and the net Reserves attributed to Tullow are based on these working interests. The Gabon Reserves at 31st December 2020 attributable to Tullow are presented in Table 3-4.

Reserves Category	Oil (MMstb)
	2P
Developed Producing (DP)	20.6
Approved for Development (AD)	7.5
Justified for Development (JD)	3.1
<b>Total Reserves</b>	<b>31.1</b>

**Table 3-4 Oil Reserves for the Gabon assets attributable to Tullow**

There are no gas Reserves for the Gabon assets.

### 3.4 Côte d'Ivoire Fields

The Côte d'Ivoire fields evaluated by TRACS consist of the West Espoir and East Espoir fields. Note that TRACS audited the Côte d'Ivoire fields for the first time in Q4 2020.

The Tullow oil and gas Reserves for the Espoir fields are based on the following main components:

- Developed (on production) Reserves (DP) utilising the current wells for East and West Espoir
- Justified for Development Reserves (JD) associated with the Phase IV drilling campaign which targets further development of the East and West Espoir fields

No Approved for Development Reserves have been identified for the Espoir fields.

The Tullow working interest in the Espoir fields is 23.7% and the net revenue interest for Tullow is 21.33%. The net Reserves attributed to Tullow are based on the net revenue interest. The net attributable Côte d'Ivoire Reserves are presented in Table 3-5.

Reserves Category	Oil (MMstb)	Gas (Bscf)	Total Oil Equivalent (MMboe)
	2P	2P	2P
Developed Producing (DP)	2.7	8.4	4.2
Approved for Development (AD)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Justified for Development (JD)	3.8	2.6	4.2
<b>Total Reserves</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>11.1</b>	<b>8.4</b>

**Table 3-5 Oil and gas Reserves for the Côte d'Ivoire fields attributable to Tullow**

## 4 General Methodology and Assumptions for Economics

Economics have been generated for the 2P total Reserves cases only. These have been generated at a field/asset level. An overview of the methodology used to generate the economics is given in the following sections.

### 4.1.1 Technical assessment

Tullow provided TRACS with production history, their decline analysis or forecasts, reservoir models and assumptions for current and new developments for the assets (where applicable). Also provided were development plans, historical costs and future cost assumptions, fiscal terms and statements regarding estimated Cessation of Production. Note these were provided at the time of the respective audit.

TRACS performed an independent review through a mixture of verifying Tullow assumptions and forecasts, adapting assumptions where felt necessary, and performing original technical analysis where felt justified.

Technical production forecasts for 2P Reserves for input into the economic assessment are generated by model, decline analysis (DCA) or analytical estimates for existing wells and future planned well activity. Type curves have been generated either at well level, reservoir level or project level depending on the Reserves or CR category. The type curves have been combined at field/asset level using an Excel based forecasting tool which honours field/asset constraints, production efficiency and project timing.

Life of field cost data was provided by Tullow including capital, fixed and variable operating and decommissioning costs. Tullow's cost estimates for producing assets that Tullow operate are based on historic data and operating experience. For new developments, the industry standard Que\$tor Cost Estimating Tool was used by Tullow informed by Tullow's internal factors and norms. For non-operated assets Operator data was used.

At the time of conducting the audit of each asset, see section 2.0, TRACS reviewed the cost data for consistency and reasonableness where and when it impacted the economic cut-off date of the asset, when it materially impacted the Net Present Value (NPV) of the asset and where it was required to test the economic viability of any Justified for Development (JD) Reserves. If the development scope used for the generation of the production profiles differed from that of the costs provided the costs were adjusted accordingly. If the costs used in Tullow's economic spreadsheet omitted costs indicated in the supporting material these were added following consultation with Tullow.

An inflation/ escalation rate of 2% per annum is assumed for all nominal costs.

It should be noted that on estimating the Reserves, CR and NPVs of the respective Tullow assets at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020 it is assumed that the development plans at the time of the last respective asset audit are still valid. In particular any activities that were estimated to be executed prior to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020 are assumed to have taken place (and not included in the costs going forward). In addition all oil and gas production that has been produced between the time of the respective audit and 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020 has been accounted for in the production forecasts but no updated analysis has been performed on production performance.

### 4.1.2 Product price deck

The Nominal Brent 30-Trading Day Average based on the Brent ICE Futures Europe Strip for a determination date of the 16<sup>th</sup> April 2021 was used for the economic evaluation, as shown in the table below.

30-trading day average	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Nominal \$/bbl Brent*	63.9	60.4	58.0	56.5	55.5	56.6
Real 2021 \$/bbl Brent	63.9	59.2	55.8	53.2	51.3	51.3

\* inflated at 2% per annum from 2025.

Crude quality differentials relative to Brent, Quality Bank differentials i.e. compensation versus the blend price received and adjustments for Domestic Supply Obligations were included where applicable.

All Jubilee gas exported post-Foundation is priced as per the Greater Jubilee Full Field Development Plan (GJFFDP), \$2.35/MMBtu RT17. Tullow advised that the calorific value of Jubilee gas is 1200 Btu/scf.

TEN associated gas is sold at \$0.5/MMBtu (RT13) and non-associated gas at \$3.0/MMBtu (RT13). Tullow advised that the calorific value of TEN gas is 1100 Btu/scf.

The Espoir contract gas price is defined in the "Associated Natural Gas Sale and Purchase Agreement CI-26". Based on a calorific value of 1,058 Btu/scf the resulting gas price forecast is as follows.

	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
<b>Espoir gas price* (\$/Mcf nominal)</b>	6.79	6.73	6.49	6.29	6.16	6.17

\* inflated at 2% per annum from 2026

None of the other assets have a sales gas stream.

#### 4.1.3 Economic models

For each asset annual production, cost and price forecasts were used in annual increment economic spreadsheet model at a field level to calculate annual pre-tax and post-tax cash flows from a point forward date of 1 January 2021 to the cessation of production date (COP) date. The COP date is the earliest of the production license expiry date, facilities design lifetime, end of technical production profile or economic limit. The economic limit is defined as the year in which the Contractor cumulative pre-tax cash flow, post Royalty and excluding abandonment is at its maximum.

Calculations were based on the applicable Fiscal Regime/ PSC terms and current commercial arrangements. All economic spreadsheet models supplied by Tullow were reviewed by TRACS.

The cash flows were determined for the 2P Reserves case to assess the nominal post tax NPV.

The Reserves/Resources are reported as Tullow working interest (attributable to Tullow) excluding fuel gas/oil and flare gas. A conversion rate of 6 MMscf/boe is assumed.

#### 4.1.4 Base Case

Brent 30-Trading Day Average based on a determination date of the 16<sup>th</sup> April 2021 and NPV at 10% discount rate (NPV10).

#### 4.1.5 Sensitivity Case

One sensitivity case has been evaluated:

- Total NPV10 including hedging.

## 5 Economic Results

### 5.1.1 Base Case

The total Tullow share NPV10 of the remaining 2P Reserves is \$3,055MM .

The Tullow 2P Reserves remaining NPV10 is shown below for each country, Table 5-1. For assets operated under PSC terms the NPV is the Tullow Entitlement share, otherwise the working interest share is shown.

Country/Asset	Tullow share NPV10 (\$MM nom)
	2P
Ghana/Jubilee	2033.9
Ghana/TEN	757.3
Gabon Total	212.0
Côte d'Ivoire Total	51.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3054.7</b>

**Table 5-1 NPV10 Results for 2P Reserves**

### 5.1.2 Impact of hedging on NPV 10

The Company have advised that under its hedging program that the following adjustment to the total asset value is applicable. TRACS have not reviewed the Companies hedging program.

As of 20 April 2021 and based on an assumed forward curve price of \$64.3/bbl in 2021 and \$61.0/bbl in 2022.

The value in 2021 is -\$81.5MM and in 2022 is \$1.6MM giving a 10% discounted present value of - \$76.3MM.

Hence the asset value for Tullow's 2P reserves are \$2,978MM NPV10 when adjusted for hedging exposure.

## 6 Contingent Resources

This section presents the TRACS estimates for Contingent Resources (CR) associated with the Tullow assets. Note that all CR presented is unrisks (i.e. no Chance of Commerciality has been included in the estimates). The total oil and gas CR (split by category) associated with the respective assets as attributable to Tullow are presented in Table 6-1.

CR Category	Oil (MMstb)	Gas (Bscf)	Total Oil Equivalent (MMboe)
	2C	2C	2C
<b>Development Pending</b>	1.1	0.0	1.1
<b>Development on Hold</b>	201.9	105.0	219.4
<b>Development Unclarified</b>	129.3	406.4	197.0
<b>Development Currently Not Viable</b>	141.7	238.1	181.4
<b>Total CR</b>	<b>474.1</b>	<b>749.5</b>	<b>599.0</b>

**Table 6-1 Oil and gas CR for all assets attributable to Tullow**

The Contingent Resources shown in Table 6-1 present the volumes of the fields listed for Reserves and also for the Kenya and Guyana assets. Note that this table excludes the CR from Equatorial Guinea (EG) assets as of December 31<sup>st</sup> 2020, which was 24.3 MMboe attributable to Tullow, due to the sale of the EG assets which was completed on March 31<sup>st</sup> 2021.

The Contingent Resources associated with the respective field or complex is presented in the following sections.

### 6.1 Jubilee Field

The Tullow Contingent Resources for the Jubilee field are based on the following main components:

- Jubilee South East project (Phase 3) to develop Mahogany and further develop Jubilee
- Jubilee incremental projects requiring infill wells to further develop the Jubilee field
- Recoverable volumes associated with post license expiry
- Jubilee field miscible flood enhanced oil recovery (EOR)
- Teak oil and gas discovery

The resulting Jubilee field CR attributable to Tullow as estimated by TRACS are presented in the Table 6-2.

CR Category	Oil (MMstb)	Gas (Bscf)	Total Oil Equivalent (MMboe)
	2C	2C	2C
<b>Development Pending</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Development on Hold</b>	18.5	15.0	21.0
<b>Development Unclassified</b>	55.8	86.9	70.3
<b>Development Currently Not Viable</b>	6.0	85.7	20.2
<b>Total CR</b>	<b>80.3</b>	<b>187.6</b>	<b>111.5</b>

**Table 6-2 Oil and gas CR for Jubilee field attributable to Tullow**

## 6.2 TEN Fields

The Tullow Contingent Resources for the TEN fields are based on the following main components:

- Enyenra and Ntomme incremental projects requiring infill wells that have been identified and assessed
- Enyenra and Ntomme incremental projects requiring infill wells that are not well defined but have potential to increase field recovery
- Ntomme gas cap blowdown which could be implemented at the tail end of oil production
- Development of Tweneboa NAG wider area and development of Tweneboa-1 area
- Development of Tweneboa oil rim
- Recoverable volumes associated with economic extension to license expiry
- Recoverable volumes associated with post license expiry

The resulting TEN fields CR attributable to Tullow as estimated by TRACS are presented in the Table 6-3.

CR Category	Oil (MMstb)	Gas (Bscf)	Total Oil Equivalent (MMboe)
	2C	2C	2C
<b>Development Pending</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Development on Hold</b>	5.5	90.0	20.5
<b>Development Unclassified</b>	69.2	319.5	122.5
<b>Development Currently Not Viable</b>	62.0	152.0	87.4
<b>Total CR</b>	<b>136.7</b>	<b>561.5</b>	<b>230.3</b>

**Table 6-3 Oil and gas CR for TEN fields attributable to Tullow**

## 6.3 Gabon fields

The Tullow Contingent Resources for the Gabon fields are based on the following main components:

- Infill well and workover activities on Ezanga complex classified as Pending Development
- Remaining workover and infill opportunities that have been identified and assessed
- Recoverable volumes associated with economic extension to license expiry
- Recoverable volumes associated with post license expiry
- Remaining activities/opportunities with little or no definition

The resulting Gabon fields CR attributable to Tullow as estimated by TRACS are presented in the Table 6-4.

CR Category	Oil (MMstb)
	2C
Development Pending	1.1
Development on Hold	7.1
Development Unclarified	4.3
Development Currently Not Viable	17.6
<b>Total CR</b>	<b>30.1</b>

**Table 6-4 Oil CR for Gabon fields attributable to Tullow**

## 6.4 Côte d’Ivoire Fields

The Tullow Contingent Resources for the Côte d’Ivoire fields are based on the following main components:

- Recoverable volumes from the Reserves profiles that would extend recovery to end of license (2036)
- Recoverable volumes from the Reserves profiles that are post license expiry to 2050
- Volumes that may still ultimately be recoverable from the fields but as yet have no (notional) projects associated with them

The resulting Côte d’Ivoire fields CR attributable to Tullow as estimated by TRACS are presented in Table 6-5.

CR Category	Oil (MMstb)	Gas (Bscf)	Total Oil Equivalent (MMboe)
	2C	2C	2C
Development Pending	0.0	0.0	0.0
Development on Hold	0.0	0.0	0.0
Development Unclarified	0.0	0.0	0.0
Development Currently Not Viable	1.7	0.4	1.8
<b>Total CR</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>

**Table 6-5 Oil and gas CR for Côte d’Ivoire fields attributable to Tullow**

## 6.5 Kenya fields

The Tullow Contingent Resources for the Kenya fields are based on the following main components:

- Phase 1 waterflood development which develops the Ngamia Auwerwer, Amosing and Twiga fields
- Further phases of waterflood development which develops the remaining fields

The Tullow working interest in the Kenya fields is either 40% or 38.75% depending on license block and the net CR attributed to Tullow are based on these working interests. The resulting Kenya fields CR attributable to Tullow as estimated by TRACS are presented in Table 6-6.

CR Category	Oil (MMstb)
	2C
Development Pending	0.0
Development on Hold	170.8
Development Unclarified	0.0
Development Currently Not Viable	0.0
<b>Total CR</b>	<b>170.8</b>

**Table 6-6 Oil CR for Kenya fields attributable to Tullow**

There are no gas Contingent Resources for the Kenya fields.

## 6.6 Guyana discoveries

The Tullow Contingent Resources for the Guyana discoveries are based on the following main components:

- Waterflood developments of the Jethro, Joe and Carapa discoveries that are not well defined

The Tullow working interest in the Guyana discoveries is 60% in the Jethro and Joe and 37.5% in the Carapa discovery. The net CR attributed to Tullow are based on these working interests. The resulting Guyana fields CR attributable to Tullow as estimated by TRACS are presented in Table 6-7.

CR Category	Oil (MMstb)
	2C
Development Pending	0.0
Development on Hold	0.0
Development Unclarified	0.0
Development Currently Not Viable	54.5
<b>Total CR</b>	<b>54.5</b>

**Table 6-7 Oil and gas CR for all Guyana discoveries attributable to Tullow**

## 7 Glossary of Terms

\$	US Dollars	m	metre
%	percent	Mbbls	thousand barrels of oil (unless otherwise stated)
°C	Degrees Celcius	Mboe	thousand barrels of oil equivalent
2D	Two Dimensional	Mbopd	thousand barrels of oil per day
3D	Three Dimensional	Mcf	thousand cubic feet
API	American Petroleum Institute	Mcfd	thousand cubic feet per day of natural gas
AVO	Amplitude Variation with Offset	MD	Measured Depth
Av Phi	Average Porosity (from log evaluation)	mD	milli Darcies
Av Sw	Average water Saturation (from log evaluation)	MM	million
bbls	Barrels	MMbbls	million barrels of oil
Bscf	Billion standard cubic feet of natural gas	MMstb	million stock-tank barrels of oil
bfpd	Barrels of fluid per day	MMbo	million barrels of oil
boe	barrels of oil equivalent	MMboe	million barrels of oil equivalent
boepd	barrels of oil equivalent per day	MMcf	million cubic feet of natural gas
bopd	barrels oil per day	MMscfd	million cubic feet of natural gas per day
bpd	barrels per day	MOD	Money Of the Day
bwpd	barrels of water per day	N/G	Net to Gross
Cali	Caliper	NFA	No Further Activity
Capex	capital expenditure	NPV	Net Present Value
CGR	Condensate Gas Ratio	Opex	operating expenditure
cm <sup>3</sup>	cubic centimetre	OPL	Oil Prospecting Lease
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic metre	OUT	Oil Up To
COCS	Chance of Commercial Success	OWC	Oil Water Contact
E & A	Exploration & Appraisal	P & A	Plugged and Abandoned
ft	feet	p.a.	per annum
FTHP	Flowing Tubing Head Pressure	P10	10% probability of being exceeded
FWL	Free Water Level	P50	50% probability of being exceeded
G & G	Geological and Geophysical	P90	90% probability of being exceeded
Gas sat	Gas saturation	POS	Possibility Of Success
GDT	Gas Down To	ppm wt	Parts per million by weight
GIIP	Gas Initially In Place	PRMS	Petroleum Resource Management System
GOR	Gas to Oil Ratio	RROR	Real Rate of Return (from RT cashflows)
GR	Gamma Ray log	RT	Real Terms
GRV	Gross Rock Volume	SMT	a PC-based interpretation workstation
GUT	Gas Up To	Kingdom	
GWC	Gas Water Contact	SPE	Society of Petroleum Engineers
HCDT	Hydro-Carbon Down To	sq km	square kilometres
HCWC	Hydro-Carbon Water Contact	STOIIIP	Stock Tank Oil Initially In Place
IRR	Internal Rate of Return (from MOD cashflows)	WI	Working Interest
JV	Joint Venture		
K	Permeability		
km	Kilometre		
km <sup>2</sup>	Square kilometres		

## Appendix A Summary of 2018 SPE Petroleum Resources Classification

The following table has paragraphs that are quoted from the 2018 SPE PRMS Guidance Notes and summarise the key resources categories, while Figure B-1 shows the recommended resources classification framework

Class/Sub-class	Definition
Reserves	Reserves are those quantities of petroleum anticipated to be commercially recoverable by application of development projects to known accumulations from a given date forward under defined conditions.
On Production	The development project is currently producing and selling petroleum to market.
Approved for Development	All necessary approvals have been obtained, capital funds have been committed, and implementation of the development project is under way.
Justified for Development	Implementation of the development project is justified on the basis of reasonable forecast commercial conditions at the time of reporting, and there are reasonable expectations that all necessary approvals/contracts will be obtained.
Contingent Resources	Those quantities of petroleum estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from known accumulations by application of development projects, but which are not currently considered to be commercially recoverable due to one or more contingencies.
Development Pending	A discovered accumulation where project activities are ongoing to justify commercial development in the foreseeable future.
Development on Hold	A discovered accumulation where project activities are on hold and/or where justification as a commercial development may be subject to significant delay.
Development Unclarified	A discovered accumulation where project activities are under evaluation and where justification as a commercial development is unknown based on available information.
Development Not Viable	A discovered accumulation for which there are no current plans to develop or to acquire additional data at the time due to limited production potential.
Prospective Resources	Those quantities of petroleum which are estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from undiscovered accumulations.
Prospect	A project associated with a potential accumulation that is sufficiently well defined to represent a viable drilling target.
Lead	A project associated with a potential accumulation that is currently poorly defined and requires more data acquisition and/or evaluation to be classified as a Prospect.
Play	A project associated with a prospective trend of potential prospects, but that requires more data acquisition and/or evaluation to define specific Leads or Prospects.

**Table A-1 Summary of 2018 SPE Petroleum Resources Classification**

YE 2020 Audit for Petroleum Interests of Tullow Oil

